

**The review of the article submitted to the editorial office of The Scientific Journals of Adam Chetnik  
Scientific Society of Ostrołęka (OTN)  
OTN's editorial numer: .....**

Author/Authors.....

Title:.....

Reviewer:.....

1. Is the title of the article consistent with its contents?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

2. Are the contents of the article accurately reflected by the key words and the abstract?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

3. Is the objective accurately stated?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

4. Are the exemplary materials and the research methods properly and accurately presented?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

5. Have the presented objectives been achieved?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

6. Have the conclusions been properly stated? Are they relevant to the contents of the article and the scope of the research?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

7. Do the indicated bibliographic items correspond with the scope of the research?

<b>YES</b>		<b>NO</b>	
------------	--	-----------	--

**Comments/ Justification:**

.....  
 .....  
 .....

<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

**Text approved for printing without reservations**

**Text approved for printing with minor reservations**

**Text approved for printing on condition of complying with the reviewer's recommendations**

**Text not approved for printing (negative review)**

.....  
*Reviewer's signature*